Range Mgmt. & Agroforestry 38 (2) : 221-226, 2017 ISSN 0971-2070



Efficacy of different herbicides on weed flora of berseem (Trifolium alexandrinum L.)

Vinod Kumar Wasnik*, A. Maity, D. Vijay, S. R. Kantwa, C.K. Gupta and Vikas Kumar

ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi-284003, India *Corresponding author e-mail: vinod.wasnik01@gmail.com Received: 18th January, 2016 Accepted

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to identify the appropriate herbicide for weed control in berseem. Oxyfluorfen @ 0.02, 0.03 and 0.04 kg a.i./ha as preemergence; imazethapyr @ 0.05, 0.075, 0.1 kg a.i. /ha as post-emergence and a combination of oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i. /ha followed by imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i. /ha after the first cut were tested with weed free and weedy check. Post-emergence application of imazethapyr at twenty days after sowing @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha recorded significantly lowest weed intensity (4.66, 4.43 and 4.14/ m²), weed dry weight (3.29, 3.24 and 3.15 g/m²) and highest weed control efficiency (82.49, 79.14 and 70.93 %) than weedy check and other herbicide treatments at first, second and third cut. It resulted in significant increase in growth and yield attributes of berssem. Application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha surpassed other treatments except the weed free with respect to green fodder (404.45 q/ha), seed (3.50 q/ha) and straw (25.79 q/ha) yields and generated highest net monetary returns (Rs. 59,336 /ha) and benefit-cost ratio (2.35).

Keywords: Berseem, Fodder yield, Herbicides, Seed yield, Weed control

Introduction

Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) is a premier winter season legume fodder in the Indian subcontinent (Kaushal *et al.*, 2003). In India, it is cultivated in an area of around 2 million hectares (Pandey and Roy, 2011). Compared to other forage crops, berseem is very nutritious, succulent and highly palatable to cattle (Mahanta and Karnani, 2010). Weed management is an important factor for enhancing the productivity of berseem because many crop associated weeds like *Cichorium intybus, Coronopus didymus, Rumex dentatus* and *Trifolium resupinatum* compete with main crops for nutrients, water, light and space during early growth period (Thakur *et al.*, 1990). Due to severe weed infestation besides deterioration in fodder quality, 30-40 % loss in green fodder yield of berseem has also Accepted: 5th July, 2017

been observed earlier (Jain, 1998). Hence, to achieve the full yield potential the crop should be kept weed free up to 35 to 40 days after sowing. Hand weeding and inter-culture operations are effective methods of weed control but they are highly labour intensive (Kauthale et al., 2016). The reduced availability of labour in agricultural sector not only enhances the cost of production but also severely limits the timely weeding operations, resulting in reduction of both quality and quantity of fodder and seed. Hence, chemical weeding under such situation appears to be an obvious option for wide spectrum weed control. But very few studies related to herbicide selectivity to berseem and their bio-efficacy against composite weed flora have been documented in the literature. Keeping above aspects in view, present investigation was conducted to test the efficacy of different herbicides to control the weed flora in berseem crop.

Materials and Methods

Experimental site and design: A field experiment was carried out during Rabi season of 2015-16 and 2016-17 at Central Research Farm of ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi. The experimental site contains sandy clay loam soil with neutral pH (7.3), high organic carbon (0.94%), low available nitrogen (159.0 kg/ha) and medium available phosphorus (11.9 kg/ha) and potassium (158.0 kg/ha). The study was conducted in randomized block design with three replications. Seven herbicide treatments viz., T3: oxyfluorfen @ 0.02 kg a.i./ ha; T₄: oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i./ha; T₅: oxyfluorfen @ 0.04 kg a.i./ha; T_e: imazethapyr @ 0.05 kg a.i./ha; T_z: imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha; T₈: imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha and $T_{\mbox{\tiny a}}$: oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i./ha followed by imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha were tested after first cut in comparison with weed free (T_2) and weedy check (T_1) . The pre-emergence herbicide, oxyfluorfen was sprayed three days after sowing prior to emergence of crop and weed, and the post-emergence herbicide imazethapyr was sprayed at twenty days after sowing. Berseem variety 'Wardan' was sown at seed rate of 20 kg/ha with inter row spacing of 40 cm in the last week of October. Recommended dose of fertilizers i.e. 20 kg N, 60 kg P_2O_5 and 40 kg K_2O/ha were applied uniformly.

Yield attributes and data analysis: To harvest the green fodder of berseem the first cutting was taken at 55 days after sowing and subsequent two cuttings were taken at 25 days interval. The yield obtained in three cuttings was summed up to get the total green fodder yield under each treatment. After three cuttings, the crop was left for seed production. To record the dry weight five hundred gram of fresh samples collected during each cut was first sun dried and later oven dried at 65° C to obtain the constant weight. The weed density and dry weight were recorded from each plot in a quadrate of one square meter at the time of first, second and third cut.

Weed data were subjected to square root transformation $\sqrt{(X+0.5)}$ before statistical analysis to normalize data distribution. The weed control efficiency (WCE) was calculated by using the formula:

WCE (%) =
$$\frac{DMC - DMT}{DMC} \times 100$$

Where WCE = Weed control efficiency; DMC = Dry mater of weeds in unweeded control; DMT = Dry matter of weeds in a treatment.

The weed index (WI) was worked out using the following formula:

WI (%) =
$$\frac{X - Y}{X} X 100$$

Where, WI = Weed index; X = Yield from weed free plot; Y= Yield from a treated plot.

Benefit cost ratio was calculated by dividing gross returns with the cost of cultivation. The data were analyzed following analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique as per Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Results and Discussion

Weed flora: The berseem crop was infested with several weed species, out of which fifteen weed species were predominant and competed with the main crop during different stages of crop growth. The weed species consisted of one sedge (*Cyperus rotundus*), one grass species (*Poa annua*) and thirteen broad leaf weeds viz., *Anagalis arvensis, Chenopodium album, Cichorium intybus, Coronopus didymus, Eclipta alba, Medicago denticulata, Melilotus alba, Melilotus indica, Physalis minima, Rumex dentatus, Sonchus asper, Spergula arvensis* and *Trifolium resupinatum*. Similar kind of weed flora dominance in berseem was also reported earlier (Tiwana *et al.*, 2002; Kewat *et al.*, 2005).

Weed density and dry weight: Different weed control treatments significantly influenced the density and dry weight of weeds compared to weedy check. The highest weed density (16.26, 14.73 and 11.92/m²) and dry weight $(7.56, 6.81 \text{ and } 5.63 \text{ g/m}^2)$ of weeds at first, second and third cut was recorded in weedy check treatment (Table 1). Among the herbicides pre-emergence application of oxyfluorfen @ 0.02 kg a.i./ha at lowest rate did not control the weed population satisfactorily, but it was effective when applied @ 0.04 kg a.i./ha, even though these treatments could not surpass imazethapyr treatments but they could reduce the weed population in berseem to some extent. The post-emergence application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha at twenty days after sowing gave excellent control of weeds and recorded the lowest weed density (4.66, 4.43 and 4.14/m²) and dry weight (3.29, 3.24 and 3.15 g/m²) of weeds at first, second and third cut. The high selectivity of imazethapyr to berseem and non-selectivity to complex weed flora of berseem was the reason for better control of weeds with the postemergence application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha. Similar results were also reported by Kumar and Shivadhar (2008) from their studies.

Weed control efficiency: At first, second and third cut highest weed control efficiency (82.49, 79.14 and 70.93%) was registered with imazethapyr application @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha followed by imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha (74.36 and 69.79%) at first and second cut. This might be due to requirement of higher dose of herbicide to kill broad spectrum weeds. The dose @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha reduced the weed density and weed dry weight significantly and thereby enhanced the weed control efficiency. With imazethapyr application highest weed control efficiency in berseem and lucerne were also achieved earlier by different workers (Kumar and Shivadhar, 2008; Revathi *et al.*, 2012).

Weed index: The weed index varied greatly due to various weed control treatments. The weedy check treatment recorded maximum weed index (27.61 and 43.81%) for green fodder and seed yield. While lower weed index (5.70 and 8.27%) for green fodder and seed yield was observed under post-emergence application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha followed by the imazethapyr treatment @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha (Table 2). This might be due to the control of both grassy and broad-leaved weeds which provided favorable condition to enhance the crop growth and yield. These results are akin to those reported by Pathan *et al.* (2013).

Table 1. Density, dry weight and	control effic	iency of wee	ds as influenc	ed by differe	nt weed co	ntrol treatm	ents in bers	seem		
Treatments	Weed	density (No	. /m²)	Weed c	lry weight (g/m²)	We	ed contro	l efficienc)	(%)
	ICut	II Cut	III Cut	I Cut	II Cut	III Cut	I Cut	II Cut	III Cut	Mean
Weedycheck	*16.26	14.73	11.92	*7.56	6.81	5.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	(263.33)	(216.17)	(141.00)	(56.17)	(45.53)	(30.79)				
Weed free	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	(00.0)	(00.0)	(00.0)	(00.0)	(00.0)	(00.0)				
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.02 kg a.i./ha	14.69	13.84	10.93	6.18	5.54	4.79	33.80	29.08	28.82	30.57
	(214.83)	(190.67)	(118.50)	(37.18)	(32.29)	(21.92)				
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i./ha	14.32	13.62	10.52	5.96	5.50	4.56	38.59	40.88	35.71	38.39
	(204.17)	(184.50)	(109.67)	(34.49)	(26.92)	(19.80)				
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.04 kg a.i./ha	13.92	13.24	9.67	5.47	4.92	4.30	48.52	49.12	43.17	46.93
	(192.83)	(174.33)	(92.50)	(28.92)	(23.17)	(17.50)				
Imazethapyr @ 0.05 kg a.i./ha	6.26	5.91	5.32	4.73	4.55	4.02	61.91	56.63	50.67	56.41
	(38.17)	(34.00)	(27.33)	(21.39)	(19.75)	(15.19)				
Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha	5.46	5.14	4.69	3.92	3.84	3.52	74.36	69.79	62.98	69.04
	(29.00)	(25.50)	(21.00)	(14.40)	(13.76)	(11.40)				
Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha	4.66	4.43	4.14	3.29	3.24	3.15	82.49	79.14	70.93	77.52
	(20.83)	(18.67)	(16.17)	(6.83)	(05.6)	(8.95)				
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i./ha fb	14.51	7.75	5.23	6.05	4.38	3.39	36.53	60.01	65.86	54.13
imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha	(209.67)	(59.33)	(26.33)	(35.65)	(18.21)	(10.51)				
arter tirst cut										
SEm <u>+</u>	0.18	0.18	0.14	0.12	0.18	0.08	•	•	•	
CD (P=0.05)	0.55	0.55	0.42	0.35	0.53	0.24	•	•		
*Values are $\sqrt{(x + 0.5)}$ transf	ormed and or	iginal values a	re in parenthes	is						

Wasnik et al.

Green fodder yield Weedycheck 27.61 Weed free 0.00 Oxyfluorfen @ 0.02 kg a.i./ha 23.06	Seed vield	Rersee	am dry weig	iht (a)	Plant	No of	No of	No of	Test
Weedy check 27.61 Weed free 0.00 Oxyfluorfen @ 0.02 kg a.i./ha 23.06		ICut	llCut	III Cut	height at harvest (cm)	effective tillers/m²	heads /m²	seeds /head	weight (g)
Weedfree 0.00 Oxyfluorfen @ 0.02 kg a.i./ha 23.06	43.81	34.92	43.00	51.42	42.67	225.50	606.00	61.67	2.79
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.02 kg a.i./ha 23.06	0.00	47.52	55.17	64.50	57.59	306.77	728.00	98.33	3.37
	38.38	36.48	44.82	53.08	47.61	238.83	635.00	65.68	2.82
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i./ha 19.44	33.92	37.33	46.16	54.51	48.67	242.33	647.33	67.34	2.86
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.04 kg a.i./ha 15.87	30.15	39.20	47.43	56.09	50.22	249.82	661.67	70.69	2.99
Imazethapyr @ 0.05 kg a.i./ha 13.58	24.29	40.49	49.02	57.67	50.83	260.67	674.50	73.00	3.03
Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha 9.75	15.36	43.00	50.63	59.59	53.22	271.00	695.17	81.36	3.07
Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha 5.70	8.27	44.58	52.68	62.02	56.10	291.07	713.54	90.99	3.18
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i./ha <i>fb</i> 11.93 imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha after first cut	18.69	37.50	48.23	58.82	51.78	265.00	678.44	75.00	3.04
SEm <u>+</u> CD (P=0.05) -		0.44 1.33	0.46 1.38	0.49 1.47	0.86 2.60	3.36 10.16	4.21 12.74	2.33 7.03	0.10 0.31

Herbicide for weed control in berseem

Wasnik et al.

Growth parameters: The berseem dry weight recorded at first, second and third cut and plant height at harvest was significantly influenced by various weed control treatments. Berseem dry weight recorded at all the three cuts (47.52, 55.17 and 64.50 g) and plant height recorded at harvest (57.59 cm) was maximum under weed-free treatment followed by post-emergence application imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha (Table 2). The significant reduction in weed free and application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha led to better availability of nutrients and eventually resulted in increased plant height and dry weight of berseem.

Yield attributes and yield: The maximum values of yield attributes, no. of effective tillers (306.77 /m²), no. of heads (728.00 /m²) and no. of seeds/head (98.33) were recorded under weed-free treatment (Table 2). This result was in line with the findings of Kewat et al. (2005), Mishra (2012) and Jha et al. (2014). Among all the herbicides treatments application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha at twenty days after sowing recorded maximum no. of effective tillers (291.07 /m²), no. of heads (713.54 /m²) and no. of seeds/head (90.99). However, test weight recorded under weed-free treatment were at par with post-emergence application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ ha. The significantly highest green fodder (428.89 g/ha), seed (3.81 g/ha) and straw yield (28.05 g/ha) of berseem were recorded with weed-free treatment (Table 3). The weed-free condition provided a competition-free environment to crop from weeds for light, nutrients and

moisture, which led to increased growth of the crop and thereby increase in nutrient uptake by increasing the green fodder, seed and straw yield of berseem. Pathan et al. (2013) and Jha et al. (2014) obtained similar results. Among two herbicides post-emergence application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha recorded significantly highest green fodder (404.45 q/ha), seed (3.50 q/ha) and straw (25.79 q/ha) yields. The selective mode of imazethapyr to berseem and nonselective mode against grassy, broad leaves and sedges weeds gave the efficient weed control during the critical period of crop-weed competition, which translated in higher growth and yield attributing characters. The increase in berseem green fodder and seed yield by imazethapyr application was also observed by Prajapati et al. (2015) and Kauthale et al. (2016). Among pre-emergence herbicides, application of oxyfluorfen @ 0.04 kg a.i./ha recorded highest green fodder (360.83 q/ha), seed (2.66 q/ha) and straw (21.44 q/ha) yields. These results were at par with preemergence application of oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i./ha. However, the yields obtained under oxyfluorfen applied treatments were comparatively lower than imazethapyr applied treatments. This was probably be due to poor efficacy of oxyfluorfen @ 0.02 kg a.i./ha against complex weed flora at lower dose and phytotoxicity on the berseem plants at increased dosage of 0.04 kg a.i./ha resulting in the reduction in berseem green fodder and seed yield. Phytotoxic effect on rice seedlings due to oxyfluorfen application at higher dose was also reported by Abraham et al. (2010).

Table 3. Green fodder, seed and straw yield and economics of berseem as influenced by different weed control treatments

Treatments	Yie	ld (q/ha)		Cost of	Gross	Net	Benefit
_	Green fodder	Seed	Straw	cultivation	returns	returns	cost
				(Rs <i>.</i> /ha)	(Rs./ha)	(Rs <i>J</i> ha)	ratio
Weedycheck	310.47	2.14	14.75	41757	69284	27526	1.66
Weedfree	428.89	3.81	28.05	55022	111475	56453	2.03
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.02 kg a.i./ha	329.97	2.35	17.21	42574	75237	32663	1.77
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i./ha	345.51	2.52	19.39	42877	80132	37255	1.87
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.04 kg a.i./ha	360.83	2.66	21.44	43244	84541	41297	1.95
Imazethapyr @ 0.05 kg a.i./ha	370.66	2.88	21.97	43169	89213	46044	2.07
Imazethapyr @ 0.075 kg a.i./ha	387.06	3.22	23.26	43639	96612	52973	2.21
Imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha	404.45	3.50	25.79	44041	103377	59336	2.35
Oxyfluorfen @ 0.03 kg a.i./ha fk	377.74	3.10	22.25	44438	93376	48937	2.10
imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha	l						
after first cut							
SEm <u>+</u>	5.32	0.07	0.70	-	-	-	-
CD (P=0.05)	16.09	0.20	2.12	-	-	-	-

Prevailing price of berseem: Green fodder: Rs. 100/q; Seed: Rs.158/kg; Straw: Rs. 300/q

Herbicide for weed control in berseem

Economics: All the weed control treatments had proven superiority in terms of monetary returns over the weedy check. The weed-free treatment recorded the highest gross returns (Rs. 1,11,475 /ha), followed by imazethapyr application @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha at twenty days after sowing (Rs. 1,03,377 /ha). Among herbicides, the highest net returns (Rs. 59,336 /ha) as well as benefit cost ratio (2.35) were recorded under post-emergence application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha. In the present experiment, even though maximum yield was obtained in weed-free (hand weeding), the benefit-cost ratio was low due to high labour cost (discourages its usage). However, the application of weedicide imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha not only increased the yield compared to other treatments and weedy check, but it had better monetary returns due to high benefit cost ratio. Kumar and Shivadhar (2008) also reported similar findings in berseem with a preemergence application of imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha.

Conclusion

The study indicated that application of imazethapyr @ 0.100 kg a.i./ha at 20 days after sowing was the most remunerative and effective herbicide treatment for controlling the complex weed flora in berseem.

Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to the Director, ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi for providing facilities to conduct the research and encouragement during the study.

References

- Abraham, C. T., P. Prameela and M. P. Lakshmi. 2010. Efficacy of oxyfluorfen for weed control in transplanted rice. *Journal of Crop and Weed* 6: 67-71.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez. 1984. *Statistical Procedure for Agriculture Research*. 2nd edn. John Wiley and Sons Inc, New York. pp. 704.
- Jain, K. K. 1998. Floristic composition of berseem-weed ecosystem on weed dynamics. *World Weeds* 5: 37-39.
- Jha, A. K., A. Srivastava, N. S. Raghuvansi and S. R. Kantwa. 2014. Effect of weed control practices on fodder and seed productivity of berseem in Kymore plateau and Satpura hill zone of Madhya Pradesh. *Range Management and Agroforestry* 35:61-65.

- Kaushal, P., S. K. Mahanta, D. R. Malaviya and A. K. Roy. 2003. Nutritive value of diploid and improved tetrapolid lines of Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum*) at different cutting stages. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 73: 940-944.
- Kauthale, V. K., P. S. Takawale and S. D. Patil. 2016. Weed management in berseem. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 48: 300-303.
- Kewat, M. L., S. B. Agrawal and V. K. Shukla. 2005. Effect of weed control on seed yield of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L). *Forage Research* 31: 78-80.
- Kumar, Sunil and Shivadhar. 2008. Influence of different herbicides on weed suppression, forage yield and economics of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 78: 954-956.
- Mahanta, S. K. and L. K. Karnani. 2010. Performance of growing crossbred female calves fed different ratios of JHB-146 variety of green berseem and straw. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 80: 53-56.
- Mishra, J. S. 2012. Management of fodder in lucerne and Egyptian clover. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 44: 6-10
- Pandey, K. C. and A. K. Roy. 2011. Forage crops varieties. ICAR- Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi, India. pp. 93.
- Pathan, S. H., A. B. Kamble and M. G. Gavit. 2013. Integrated weed management in berseem. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 45: 148-150.
- Prajapati, B., C. Thangjam, P. Singh, Kewalan and Giri. 2015. Efficacy of herbicides for weed management in berseem. *The Bioscan an International Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences* 10: 347-350.
- Revathi, P., K. B. Suneetha Devi and M. Madhavi. 2012. Effect of integrated weed management practices on weed dynamics and weed control efficiency in lucerne. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 44: 46-49.
- Thakur, G. S., R. K. Dubey and A. K. Tripathi. 1990. Evaluation of herbicides for weed management in berseem. In: Proceedings *Biennial Conference of ISWS* (March 4- 5, 1990), JNKVV, Jabalpur, India. pp. 55.
- Tiwana, U. S., K. P. Puri, M. S. Tiwana and U. S. Walia. 2002. Effect of butachlor, trifluralin and fluchloralin on chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) and berseem fodder. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 34: 251-253.