

Evaluation of stay green induced maize hybrids for green fodder and grain yields under variable moisture regimes

R. P. Sah^{1,2,4*}, M. Chakraborty¹, K. Prasad¹, M. Pandit¹, A. Kumar², P. Mahapatra¹, N. Manjunatha⁴, D. K. Gupta³, R. Kumar¹, and P. Sanghamitra²

¹Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi-834006, India ²ICAR-National Rice Research Institute, Cuttack-753006, India ³ICAR-Central Arid Zone Research Institute, Jodhpur-342003, India ⁴ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi-284003, India *Corresponding author e-mail: ramesh.pbg@gmail.com Received: 20th August, 2015 Accepted: 2

Abstract

About 80% kharif area of maize cultivation in India is under rainfed condition where uncertainty of rainfall is a ubiquitous phenomenon which limiting its productivity. Primary and secondary stress responsive traits are mainly on quantitative loci, which make the direct selection of traits difficult. The present experiment aimed to identify the maize hybrids suitable for dual purpose under low moisture stress condition, identification of secondary traits associated with fodder and grain yield and calculation of correlation which could be useful for effective selection for fodder and grain yield. Using 37 maize genotypes, evaluated under 3 moisture regimes for green fodder and grain yield. Association analysis was done among the secondary traits and yield. Among the genotypes significant variability was showed for all characters. The hybrids BAUIM-2 x HKI-1532 and BAUIM-3 x HKI-1532 found suitable for dual purpose exhibited maximum GFY/P under irrigated, rainfed and stress (-50kPa) conditions while, hybrid BAUIM-5 x HKI-1532 found suitable for fodder yield. Hybrids BAUIM-4 x HKI-335 and BQPM-4 x HKI-1532 suitable for stress conditions. The traits stomatal frequency, stay green, tassel blast and green fodder yield per plant showed normal probability distribution; whereas, relative leaf water content, anthesis silk interval, leaf area index, leaf senescence, leaf firing, plant bareness, leaf rolling and grain yield per plant nonnormal distribution. Bartlett test for homogeneity of variance was non-significant for LA-3 and LA-cob. The correlation coefficient indicated that, traits GY/P with SG (0.46); TB (-0.39); LR (-0.40); LAI (0.57), BP (-0.57). GFY/ P with SG (0.48); TB (-0.39); LR (-0.41), BP (0.48) showed significant association among each other's.

Keywords: Green fodder, Hybrids, Maize, Physiological parameters, Secondary traits, *Zea mays*

Accepted: 24th December, 2016

Abbreviations: ASI: Anthesis and silk interval; BP: Barrenness percentage; GY/P: Grain yield per plant; GFY/ P: Green fodder yield per plant; IK: Irrigated; R: Rainfed; S-50: Managed stress; LA-3: Leaf angle of 3rd leaf from top of the plant; LA-cob: Leaf angle cob leaf; LAI: Leaf area index; LF: Leaf firing; LR: Leaf rolling; LS: Leaf senescence; RLWC: Relative leaf water content, SG: Stay green; SL: Stomata lower surface; SU: Stomata upper surface; TB: Tassel blast; E. Index: Environmental index

Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.) is a major cereal crop worldwide after wheat and rice, serving as staple food for both human consumption, animal forage and feed (Rani et al., 2015; Pandit et al., 2016; Chaudhary et al., 2016). Rain-fed cropping systems provide over 40% of the world's temperate maize production. Uncertainty of rainfall creating low moisture stress is a ubiquitous in random stress cropping systems and often limits maize yields. Low moisture stress causes osmotic stress and inversely influences plant performance. Under comparable water reduction (approximately 40%), maize experienced approximately 39% yield reduction (Daryanto et al., 2016). The quantity of forage and grain yield loss depends on plant growth stage as well as the duration and the severity of the stress. Drought reduces plant growth and reproductive behaviour of plant by influencing the delayed silk extrusion leading to high anthesis silk interval (ASI) (Sah et al., 2015); leaf senescence and area, induced barrenness, reductions in kernel number due to poor pollination, early kernel abortion and yield (Edmeades et al., 2000; Araus et al., 2002; Messmer, 2006). These morphological and physiological parameters ultimately contribute to grain and forage yield.

Hybrids of maize are considered as more stable in performance, high yielding, more uniform in maturity and resistant stresses (Sah et al., 2014). For forage and grain yield improvement in maize under soil moisture deficit conditions we need to identify stress tolerant maize genotypes with associated physiological traits/ secondary traits. Phenotyping for critical traits like short ASI, crop growth duration and leaf area, reduced barrenness and epinasty or leaf rolling, stay green etc. are among the obvious secondary traits. Conventional breeding showed that primary and secondary stresstolerance traits are mainly quantitative loci which make the selection of traits difficult. The higher green forage yielding genotypes along with stay green traits may confer the stress tolerant mechanism due to higher carbohydrates accumulation.

Secondary traits help to overcome the low heritability of yield under low moisture stress condition, which is due to the small genetic variance and the occurrence of poorly understood genotype-by-environment interactions (G x E). To determine best selection method, mean values, components of variance and heritability of the traits is important. Besides that, also is very important to confirm relationship between traits. The information regarding the secondary traits and association behaviour under multiple environmental conditions for all the characters is scanty. Keeping in view this experiment was conducted to identify the hybrid(s) of maize suitable for dual purpose under stress condition and association study among forage yield, grain yield and important secondary traits.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and experimental designing: Thirtyseven maize genotypes, 24 hybrids, 11 parents and 2 checks i.e., Bio-9637 and HQPM-1 were evaluated in sandy loam soil of humid sub-tropical climate at the research farm of the Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi, India (23.35°N, 85.33°E; 651 m), during July to November, 2013. The hybrids were developed by using drought tolerant parents (HKI-1532, HKI-335 and HKI-488) which transmitted various degree of stay green in hybrids. The experiment was conducted in randomized block design under three sets of environmental conditions. Set-I (IK): irrigated condition under open field (irrigation at -30 kPa soil moisture potential); Set-II (R): random stress condition (exposed to natural rainfall during the cropping period) and Set-III (S-50): managed stress, under rainout shelter (irrigation at -50 kPa soil moisture potential). The stress level was measured with tensiometer installed at root zone depth as described by Bänziger et al. (2000).

Observation procedure: Observations were recorded on ten randomly selected plants averaged for GFY/P, GY/ P. Thirteen secondary traits were measured as per the method suggested for RLWC: by Pask et al. (2012); ASI and LAI by Amanullah et al. (2007); SU; SL; SG: by Jiang et al. (2004) with a slight modification on 1 to 10 scale and converted to percentage. A rating of 1 indicated complete or nearly complete leaf death, while rating 10 corresponded to a complete green leaf; LS: scored at one week after 50% male flowering using 1-10 scale (1 = 10% and 10 = 100% dead leaf area) as suggested by Zaidi et al. (2008); LF: measured with modification of method suggested by Bänziger et al. (2000). The reading was taken on 1 to 9 scales (Kaur et al., 2010); LA-3; LAcob; TB: Tassels dried due to stress in plants. The reading was done with some modification of method suggested by Bänziger et al. (2000). 1-9 scale was used i.e., 1 is healthy tassel and 9 is tassel dried completely; LR: measured in 1 to 5 scale; BP: the number of plants with no cob or if cob is present but with no seed set or very few seeds then it were considered as barren plant.

Statistical analysis: Analysis of variance, simple linear correlation was computed for all environments separately for all the 15 traits using SPSS 17.0 software. For testing the equality of variances under different environments Bartlett's and Leven's tests were used. The change in the environmental condition(s) association leads to change in the degree and direction. So for these situations, it is difficult to choose the exact correlation value and direction as well. A single and actual value of 'r' which should be used as selection criteria of significantly associated variables under changing environments were computed by following Gomez and Gomez (1983).

Results and Discussion

Interaction and mean performance: The variance due to G, E and G x E (interaction) were significant for all the traits (Table 1). Mean value of traits showed differential response of genotypes for different traits under different environments. Similar results also reported by fodder traits by Sah *et al.* (2016). It was found that traits *i.e.* RLWC, ASI, LAI, LS, LF, TB, BP, LR and GY/P showed significant test indicating violation from normal distribution (Table 1). Bartlett's and Levenes's test indicated that the traits showing significant test were heterogeneous in the variance. The traits LA-3 and LA-cob showed non-significant variance hence, their variance of maize entries under the irrigated condition is higher in comparison to

rainfed and stress trial indicating that plant performance decreases if moisture stress increases. Similar findings were also observed by Alfi and Azizi (2015). The RLWC, LAI, SG, GY/P and GFY/P were decreased while increasing the stress, whereas the ASI, LS, LF, TB, LR and BP increased under stress (Table 2-4). The hybrids BAUIM-2 x HKI-1532 and BAUIM-3 x HKI-1532 found suitable for dual purpose exhibited maximum GFY/P under IK, R and S-50 conditions while, hybrid BAUIM-5 x HKI-1532 found suitable for fodder yield. Hybrids BAUIM-4 x HKI-335 and BQPM-4 x HKI-1532 were good for average response under stress conditions.

Association study: The magnitude of correlation of traits will depend on expression genes, which contributes to variation (Chakraborty and Sah, 2012). The positive significant correlation coefficient was observed for LAI with SG and GY/P under the irrigated condition and SU with SL, SG with LR, LAI with GY/P and GFY/P under random stress condition (individual environment correlation was not presented). Similar results have been reported in case of SG and grain yield by Golbashy *et al.* (2010) and Shoa Hoseini *et al.* (2007); LAI and grain yield by Wannows *et al.* (2010). Flowering time is an essential character to determine response of the plants toward the environmental changes. The differences in appearance of male and female flowers (ASI) also indicated the plant performance stability under different

environments. These differences were generally negative with grain yield since it reduced the pollen availability during stigma receptivity and seed setting (Khayatnezhad et al., 2010). Under the managed stress situation ASI with LR, LF with LR, LR with BP showed positive significant correlation with each other. In all the three environments, the positive association were also observed for LA-3 and LA-cob and GY/P and GFY/P. The traits LAI with TB under random stress condition and the traits SG with LR, ASI with GY/P and GFY/P, which was also reported by Mohan et al. (2000). LR with GFY/P was predominant under stress condition showed significant negative association among them. Similarly, GFY/P with BP has negative association in all the three environments. The traits significantly correlated in irrigated condition have shown non-significant correlation under stress condition and vice versa. This indicates that the change in the environment is also changing the degree of expressivity of the traits. However, the traits SG with LR, showed both types (direction) *i.e.*, positive under random stress situation and negative under managed stress situation, it may be due to differential expression of a gene under different environment or expression of different genes under different environment for single traits. Under such variation of associated traits, the correlation value (r) calculated by using Gomez and Gomez, (1983) may give better indication for selection of traits.

SV	df	RLWC	ASI	LAI	SU	SL	SG	LS
Env. (E)	2 '	1558.48**	1812.08**	431007871**	3936.81**	312.80**	6345.51**	158.83**
Genotypes (G)	36	107.33**	17.21**	11022351**	1685.84**	1909.99**	3905.48**	25.77**
E*G	72	110.87**	15.07**	2795364**	734.25**	939.67**	137.02**	18.05**
P. Error	216	1.13	0.36	399811	15.34	11.05	12.48	0.73
Kolmogorov-		0.00	0.01	0.17	0.20 ^p	0.20 ^p	0.20 ^p	0.01
Smirnov ^a								
Shapiro-Wilk		0.00	0.00	0.01	0.84	0.06	0.27	0.00
Bartlett's		17.453**	35.832**	87.12**	63.966**	17.026**	10.489**	69.103**
Levene's		79.476**	67.344**	43.933**	1.393ns	2.186ns	4.587*	7.107**
SV	ĿF	LA3	LA-cob	тв	LR	BP	GY/P	GFY/P
Env. (E)	148.35**	195.49**		117.23**	0.75**	15231**	95673**	159.26**
Genotypes (G)	2.45**	1250.16**		7.01**	11.25**	710.16**	1828.18**	2061.44**
E*G	2.20**	1.30**		1.89**	3.73**	363.99**	436.06**	0.49*
P. Error	0.54	1.10	2.10	0.73	0.15	31.17	10.15	
Kolmogorov-	0.00	0.20 ^p		0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20 ^p
Smirnov ^a								
Shapiro-Wilk	0.00	0.35	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.35
Shapiro-Wilk Bartlett's	0.00 56.641**	0.35 0.24ns		0.00 -59.041ns	0.00 17.36**	0.01 19.72**	0.01 167.81**	0.35 42.67**

Table 1. ANOVA and normal probability distribution test for GFY/P, GY/P and different morpho-physiological parameters

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, I-irrigated, R-rainfed, S-stress; a. Lilliefors Significance Correction, P.This is a lower bound of the true significance.

Genotypes		RLWC			ASI			LAI			SU			SL	
	≚	R	S-50	¥	ĸ	S-50	≚	ĸ	S-50	≚	R	S-50	¥	ĸ	S-50
BAUIM-2 × HKI-1532	94.34	89.11	77.85	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.76	3.47	0.69	88.33	91.67	90.67	131.00	118.00	117.00
BAUIM-3 x HKI-1532	93.95	89.86	81.89	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.43	2.73	1.20	84.67	115.67	114.67	134.00	153.00	141.67
BAUIM-4 × HKI-335	92.40	91.29	78.66	3.50	3.00	4.00	2.81	3.01	0.78	88.67	82.00	67.33	125.67	124.00	161.67
BQPM-4 x HKI-1532	94.31	87.35	78.47	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.36	2.72	0.98	100.00	94.00	91.33	194.00	124.00	117.00
BAUIM-5 x HKI-1532	93.37	89.33	78.99	4.00	4.00	5.00	2.48	2.96	0.89	67.33	77.33	93.00	138.00	128.00	145.33
Bio-9637-Check-1	94.37	92.85	80.50	4.00	5.50	12.00	2.52	2.38	0.65	97.33	91.33	90.33	119.67		112.67
HQPM-1-Check-2	94.00	91.50	86.64	4.00	6.50	8.00	1.27	2.03	1.06	101.33	95.33	92.67	141.00	135.00	140.00
Mean Hybrids	93.80	91.61	81.04	4.13	4.17	5.48	2.71	2.61	0.74	97.35	98.46	88.61	141.10	138.61	138.39
E. Index	9.64	7.41	-3.07	-2.23	-2.07	0.52	0.70	0.62	-0.93	2.43	1.83	-8.17	5.51	4.58	3.18
C.D. 5%	1.72	1.33	2.16	1.17	1.09	3.66	0.59	0.55	0.17	4.93	3.62	5.00	4.44	4.78	6.80
C.V.	1.13	0.89	1.64	16.56	14.90	17.97	15.59	15.23	14.87	2.97	2.19	3.36	1.91	2.07	2.97

tions	
ondi	
tress c	
ed stress co	
0	
_	
and I	
infed a	
ated, rainfed and mar	
ated,	
irrig	
under	
I traits und	
altr	
gic	
iolo	
o-physiologi	
_	
e for morph	
or n	
e Ze	
ies of maize	
s of	
<u> </u>	
lected ent	
elect	
of Se	
ce Se	
nan	
rforn	
n per	
Mean	
ю .	
ole	

Table 3. Mean performance of selected entries of	nance of	selected (entries of		or morpt	no-physic	ological	traits und	ler irrigate	ed, rainfe	maize for morpho-physiological traits under irrigated, rainfed and managed stress conditions	naged sti	ress con	ditions	
Genotypes/En.		SG			ГS			Ŀ			LA-3			LA-cob	
	≚	2	S-50	≚	2	S-50	≚	ĸ	S-50	≚	2	S-50	≚	2	S-50
BAUIM-2 × HKI-1532	92.50	77.50	75.00	2.00	2.00	3.67	0.33	0.00	2.64	26.47	26.00	24.53	31.92	31.42	29.65
BAUIM-3 × HKI-1532	79.00	60.00	42.50	2.00	2.00	5.00	0.67	0.00	3.95	52.32	51.75	48.83	32.12	31.62	29.83
BAUIM-4 × HKI-335	42.50	45.00	42.50	2.00	2.50	4.67	0.89	06.0	1.23	26.42	25.95	24.49	35.92	35.41	33.42
BQPM-4 x HKI-1532	74.00	57.50	37.50	3.00	3.00	5.00	0.41	1.00	1.16	34.82	34.30	32.36	38.67	38.14	35.98
BAUIM-5 × HKI-1532	37.50	42.00	37.50	2.00	2.00	5.67	1.00	0.42	2.21	47.32	46.76	44.11	35.47	34.96	32.98
Bio-9637-Check-1	21.00	18.00	15.04	2.33	3.00	4.17	0.56	1.25	2.60	42.13	41.60	39.26	27.91	27.43	25.88
HQPM-1-Check-2	26.33	23.67	23.76	2.00	3.00	3.83	1.00	1.25	3.25	39.08	38.56	36.39	46.91	46.34	43.71
Mean Hybrids	46.19	38.09	28.08	2.42	2.96	5.01	0.59	0.80	2.74	39.25	38.72	36.54	36.56	36.04	34.01
E. Index	3.67	-3.33	-11.44	-1.67	-1.12	0.76	-0.87	-0.56	1.49	5.99	5.47	3.47	4.99	4.49	2.51
C.D. 5%	5.70	4.59	6.76	0.80	0.98	1.99	0.75	0.69	0.75	1.90	1.87	1.80	2.68	2.72	2.63
C.V.	9.17	9.04	17.98	19.14	19.10	19.18	17.08	17.01	15.59	3.25	3.25	3.30	4.64	4.77	4.88

Sah et al.

Genot	Genotypes\ En.		TΒ			LR			ВР			Gγ/P			GFY/P		
		≚	2	S-50	¥	2	S-50	≚	2	S-50	≚	2	S-50	≚	2	S-50	
BAUIM-	BAUIM-2 × HKI-1532	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50	1.92	5.00	0.59	1.17	11.07	131.61	98.19	42.44	568.14	493.52	266.27	
BAUIM-	BAUIM-3 × HKI-1532	1.00	1.33	1.67	0.50	1.54	5.00	0.63	00.0	10.74	122.20	76.69	44.94	408.65	400.64	169.24	
BAUIM-	BAUIM-4 × HKI-335	1.00	1.67	6.33	00.0	0.77	5.00	1.00	4.50	16.34	103.07	84.83	35.28	265.20	267.09	140.99	
BQPM-	BQPM-4 x HKI-1532	1.00	1.00	1.67	1.25	2.12	4.00	0.85	4.92	10.00	89.13	65.50	43.22	315.91	247.47	169.36	
BAUIM-	BAUIM-5 × HKI-1532	1.00	2.33	5.00	0.50	1.15	5.00	0.00	5.01	17.29	125.20	76.80	34.19	374.58	354.49	181.04	
Bio-963	Bio-9637-Check-1	1.00	1.67	4.00	1.06	2.36	8.00	1.15	3.58	16.03	124.60	69.53	34.09	282.57	274.86	76.33	
-MQPM-	HQPM-1-Check-2	1.00	1.00	3.33	1.20	2.46	8.00	0.33	3.42	13.52	123.54	62.87	40.78	319.01	273.06	126.06	
Mean Hybrids	łybrids	1.00	1.54	2.60	0.95	2.03	5.33	0.79	2.99	14.16	104.33	67.84	37.06	641.53	624.07	216.39	
E. Index	×	-1.85	-1.07	0.19	-2.20	-1.12	2.74	-10.65	-8.01	3.23	38.16	3.99	-20.28	5.47	2.97	-1.81	
C.D. 5%	%	0.12	1.20	2.10	0.97	0.80	1.28	1.36	3.23	5.26	6.31	6.30	1.09	27.64	30.41	21.77	
C.V.		4.39	19.10	20.00	19.91	18.60	13.00	19.15	16.21	19.87	4.13	6.49	1.89	13.58	16.39	11.65	
																	-
Table 5	Table 5. Correlation coefficient over environments	coefficient	over en	vironments		(r_n) using weighted z mean &	ed z me	an & co-	heritabil	co-heritability (BS) between 15 traits	etween 1	5 traits					
Traits	RLWC A	ASI L/	LAI SU	U SL	L SG	G LS		LF	LA-3 L	LA-cob	TB	LR	ВР	GY/P	GFY/P		
RLWC	0.86 0.	0.05 -0.12		0.10 0.05	15 -0.08	0.12		0.15 0	0.19	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.04	-0.11	-0.03		
ASI	1.01 0.	0.98 -0.12		0.02 -0.01	11 -0.33			0.01 -0	-0.21	-0.13	0.13	0.28	0.28	-0.37	-0.31		-
LAI	0.98 1.	1.00 0.96	96 -0.25	25 -0.32	82 0.57*	7* 0.18		0.13 0	0.23	-0.05	-0.41	-0.26	-0.37	0.57*	0.45		
SU	0.98 1.	1.05 1.05		0.79 0.4	1 -0.18	8 -0.02		-0.14 0	0.02	0.07	0.21	0.02	0.18	-0.25	-0.23		
Ū	1 06 1	1 0 2 0 75		0 89 0 75	5 -0.10	0 15		0.08 -0		-0.02	0.01	0.05	0 1 2	-0.32	-0.24		

																	bu
	GFY/P	-0.03	-0.31	0.45	-0.23	-0.24	0.46	-0.02	-0.06	0.31	0.11	-0.37	-0.40	-0.60*	0.91*	0.95	ritability (BS) among
	GY/P	-0.11	-0.37	0.57*	-0.25	-0.32	0.46	-0.01	-0.05	0.33	0.11	-0.39	-0.40	-0.57*	0.99	0.99	Below diagonal: co-heritability (BS
	ВР	0.04	0.28	-0.37	0.18	0.12	-0.38	-0.04	0.04	-0.16	-0.05	0.37	0.29	0.92	1.00	0.98	Below dia
15 traits	LR	0.08	0.28	-0.26	0.02	0.05	-0.37	-0.20	0.32	0.03	0.11	0.18	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	heritability (broad sense);
between	TB	0.08	0.13	-0.41	0.21	0.21	-0.38	-0.05	-0.04	-0.04	0.06	0.92	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	tability (bro
co-heritability (BS)	LA-cob	0.09	-0.13	-0.05	0.07	-0.02	-0.03	-0.17	0.04	0.60*	0.73	1.10	1.08	0.97	0.98	0.96	value: ility)
	LA-3	0.19	-0.21	0.23	0.02	-0.09	0.08	-0.11	0.14	0.86	0.86	1.06	1.03	1.01	0.97	0.97); Diagonal v low heritabil
z mean &	ГF	0.15	0.01	0.13	-0.14	-0.08	-0.11	0.03	0.93	0.69	1.05	1.00	0.99	0.98	1.00	1.00	t value (Z _w ld d™0.3-
using weighted :	ΓS	0.12	0.10	0.18	-0.02	0.15	0.05	0.83	0.97	0.86	0.91	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.94	from weighted Z um heritability ar
。) using \	SG	-0.08	-0.33	0.57*	-0.18	-0.19	0.85	1.08	1.01	1.05	1.12	0.97	0.99	0.98	1.00	1.00	onment from .6 medium he
nments (r	SL	0.05	-0.01	-0.32	0.41	0.75	0.81	0.93	1.02	1.05	1.12	0.93	1.08	0.41	0.89	0.94	er environr /, 0.3-0.6 r
er enviror	SU	0.10	0.02	-0.25	0.79	0.89	1.74	0.93	1.00	1.23	0.26	1.09	1.00	0.89	1.06	0.94	efficient ov heritability
Table 5. Correlation coefficient over environments	LAI	-0.12	-0.12	0.96	1.05	0.75	0.95	1.04	1.00	0.99	1.08	1.00	1.00	1.01	0.99	1.00	Above diagonal: simple correlation coefficient over envir the 15 traits, (heritability: $e^{TM}0.6$ - high heritability, 0.3-0
ation coe	ASI	0.05	0.98	1.00	1.05	1.02	0.99	0.99	1.00	0.96	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	imple corr tability: e ¹
5. Correl	RLWC	0.86	1.01	0.98	0.98	1.06	0.95	0.99	1.02	0.84	0.61	0.97	0.99	1.01	1.00	0.98	liagonal: s raits, (heri
Table (Traits	RLWC	ASI	LAI	SU	SL	SG	LS	Ц	LA-3	LA-cob	TΒ	LR	ВР	GY/P	GFY/P	Above d the 15 t

Stay green induced maize hybrids

Analysis of weighted Z value and Chi-square (Gomez and Gomez, 1983): According to calculated Z value, none of the traits showed significant value for chi-square test which indicated that the hypothesis of homogeneity cannot be rejected. Therefore, they were further analysed for pooled correlation coefficient over environments (Table 5). The high 'r' value was observed for correlation of LAI with SG (r=0.57) and GY/P (r=0.57); LA-3 with LA cob (r=0.60); BP with GY/P (r=-0.57) and GFY/P (r=-0.62) while low and negative 'r' value was observed for relation of ASI with SG (r=-0.33), GY/P (-0.37) and GFY/P (r=-0.33). The trait LAI with TB (r=-0.41), BP (r=-0.37) and GFY/ P(r=0.48); SU with SL (0.41); SG with TB (r = -0.38), LR (r = -0.37), BP (r = -0.37), GY/P (r = 0.46) and GFY/P (r = 0.48); TB with BP (r = 0.37), GY/P (r = -0.39) and GFY/P (r = -0.39); and LR with GY/P (-0.40) and GFY/P (r = -0.41) were found to be associated with each other's. The correlation value (r) may be at least as mentioned above between traits for selecting the correlated traits. Such correlations would be useful for the effective indirect selection of traits under different soil moisture condition.

Conclusion

The pooled correlation method as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1983) can be used for pooled association study to draw a representative value of 'r'. The association between fodder and grain yield were highly significant. The secondary traits LAI, BP, SG and GFY/p had desirable association with grain yield whereas, LAI, SG and GY/p had desirable association with fodder yield under variable moisture regimes in maize. The expression of the traits was affected by the different level of moisture stress. Under irrigated condition the performance of the lines was higher in comparison to rainfed and stress trial. The RLWC, LAI, SG, GY/P and GFY/P decreased under stress whereas, ASI, LS, LF, TB, LR and BP increased under stress. The hybrids BAUIM-2 x HKI-1532 and BAUIM-3 x HKI-1532 was good for dual purpose because of high grain and fodder yield, however, BAUIM-5 x HKI-1532 can be used especially for fodder production due to high green biomass and BAUIM-4 x HKI-335 and BQPM-4 x HKI-1532 were good for average performance under stress conditions.

Acknowledgement

Authors are highly thankful to the Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi for providing the financial and all kind of support and Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi, for according permission for PhD studies of first author. We are also thankful to Directorate of Maize Research, New Delhi, for providing the drought tolerant inbred.

References

- Alfi, S. and F. Azizi. 2015. Effect of drought stress and using zeolite on some quantitative and qualitative traits of three maize varieties. *Research Journal of Recent Sciences* 4: 1-7
- Amanullah, Md. J. H., K. Nawab and A. Ali. 2007. Response of specific leaf area (SLA), leaf area index (LAI) and leaf area ratio (LAR) of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to plant density, rate and timing of nitrogen application. *World Applied Sciences Journal* 2: 235-243.
- Araus, J. L., G. A. Slafer, M. P. Reynolds and C. Royo. 2002. Plant breeding and water relations in C3 cereals: what should we breed for? *Annals of Botany, London* 89: 925-940.
- Bänziger, M., G. O. Edmeades, D. Beck and M. Bellon. 2000. Breeding for Drought and Nitrogen Stress Tolerance in Maize: From Theory to Practice. D. F. CIMMYT, Mexico. pp. 25.
- Chakraborty, M. and R. P. Sah. 2012. Genetic component in baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant Archives* 12 : 291-294
- Chaudhary, D. P., Ashwani Kumar, Ramesh Kumar, Avinash Singode, Ganpati Mukri, R. P. Sah, U. S. Tiwana and Balwinder Kumar. 2016. Evaluation of normal and specialty corn for fodder yield and quality traits. *Range Management and Agroforestry* 37: 79-83.
- Daryanto, S., L. Wang and P. A. Jacinthe. 2016. Global synthesis of drought effects on maize and wheat production. *PLoS One* 11: e0156362. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0156362 (accessed on May 06, 2017)
- Edmeades, G. O., J. Bolanos, A. Elings, J. M. Ribaut, M. Banziger and M. E. Westgate. 2000. The role and regulation of the anthesis-silking interval in maize.
 In: M.E. Westgate and K .J. Boote (eds). *Physiology and Modelling Kernel Set in Maize*. CSSA Special Publication. CSSA, Madison. pp. 43-73.
- Golbashy, M., M. Ebrahimi, S. Khavari Khorasani and R. Choucan. 2010. Evaluation of drought tolerance of some corn (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids in Iran. *African Journal of Agriculture Research* 5: 2714-2719.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez. 1983. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. Wiley India (P) Ltd., New Delhi, India.
- Jiang, G. H., Y. Q. He, C. G. Xu, X. H. Li and Q. Zhang. 2004. The genetic basis of stay-green in rice analyzed in a population of doubled haploid lines derived from an *indica* by *japonica* cross. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 108: 688–698.

- Kaur, R., V. K. Saxena and N. S. Malhi. 2010. Combining ability for heat tolerance traits in spring maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Maydica* 55: 195-199.
- Khayatnezhad, M., M. Zaeifizadeh and R. Gholamin.2010. Investigation and selection for drought stress. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 4: 4815-4822.
- Messmer, R. E. 2006. The genetic dissection of key factors involved in the drought tolerance of tropical maize (Zea mays L.). Doctoral Dissertation. Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich.
- Mohan, Y. C., K. Singh and N. V. Rao. 2000. Path coefficient analysis for oil and grain yield in maize genotypes. *National Journal of Plant Improvement* 4: 75-76.
- Pandit, M., Manigopa Chakraborty, Z. A. Haider, Anita Pande, R. P. Sah and Kumar Sourav. 2016. Genetic diversity assay of maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbreds based on morphometric traits and SSR markers. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 11: 2118-2128.
- Pask, A. J. D., J. Pietragalla, D. M. Mullan and M. P. Reynolds. 2012. *Physiological Breeding II: A Field Guide to Wheat Phenotyping*. CIMMYT, Mexico.
- Rani, P., M. Chakraborty and R. P. Sah. 2015. Identification and genetic estimation of nutritional parameters of QPM hybrids suitable for animal feed purpose. *Range Management and Agroforestry* 36: 175-182.

- Sah, R. P., M. Chakraborty, K. Prasad and M. Pandit. 2014. Combining ability and genetic estimates of maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) developed using drought tolerant testers. *Maize Journal* 3: 9-17.
- Sah, R. P., A. Kumar, J. Ghosh, and K Prasad. 2015.
 Stability study in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Journal of Hill Agriculture* 6: 40-44.
- Sah, R. P., S. Ahmed, D. R. Malaviya and P. Saxena. 2016. Identification of consistence performing dual purpose maize (Zea mays L.) genotypes under semi-arid condition. *Range Management and Agroforestry* 37: 162-166
- Shoa-Hoseini, M., M. Farsi and S. Khavari Khorasani. 2007. Study effect of water deficit stress on yield and yield components if some corn hybrids using path analysis. *Majaledanesh Keshavarzi* 18: 71-85.
- Wannows, A. A., A. Hasan Kameel and S. A. AL-Ahmad. 2010. Genetic variances, heritability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in yellow maize crosses (Zea mays L.). Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America 1: 630-637.
- Zaidi, P. H., Jat M. L. Mehrajuddin, K. Pixley, R. P. Singh and S. Dass. 2008. Resilient maize for improved and stable productivity of rain-fed environment of South and South- East Asia. In: Proc. 10th Asian Regional Maize Workshop on Maize for Asia-Emerging Trends and Technologies (Oct. 20-23, 2008), Makassar, Indonesia.